2002 Current Fiscal Year Report: Niobrara Scenic River Advisory Commission

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 07:27:40 PM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of the Interior 2002

3. Committee or Subcommittee 3b. GSA Committee No.

Niobrara Scenic River Advisory Commission 564

4. Is this New During Fiscal 5. Current 6. Expected Renewal 7. Expected Term

Year? Charter Date Date

No 07/31/1991 07/31/1993 05/24/2001

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination 8c. Actual Term

FiscalYear? Authority Date

Yes P.L. 102-50 (Section 5) 05/24/2001

9. Agency Recommendation for Next10a. Legislation Reg to 10b. Legislation

FiscalYear Terminate? Pending?

Terminate

11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

12. Specific Establishment 13. Effective 14. Committee 14c.

Authority Date Type Presidential?

P.L. 102-50 (Section 5) 05/24/1991 Ad hoc No

15. Description of Committee Non Scientific Program Advisory Board

16a. Total Number of Reports 1

16b. Report Date Report Title 07/29/2002 Not Listed

Number of Committee Reports Listed: 1

17a. Open 0 17b. Closed 0 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 0 Meetings and Dates

No Meetings

	Current FY N	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00

18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)	\$0.00	\$0.00
18d. Total	\$0.00	\$0.00
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	0.00	0.00

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The occasional value of the open advisory committee meetings to the public, the distribution of meeting minutes throughout the community, the State, and other interested parties, and the continued media coverage of the advisory committee analysis of planning documents has been critical to the consensus-building process in the community for the completion of the General Management Plan/EnvironmentalImpact Statement.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The eleven members on the committee represent a broad cross-section of the public interested in the long-term management of the Niobrara National Scenic River Members represented property owners in the Niobrara Valley, canoe outfitters, the State of Nebraska, county and/or natural resource districts, and the conservation community.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Commission met once prior to expiration. Since the group represents the community, their discussion of issues provided critical input to the decision-making process. The public meeting provided an open forum for discussion with community members who attend the meetings or write letters to the advisory committee.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Niobrara Scenic River Advisory Commission was established pursuant to Public Law 102-50, to advise the Secretary of the Interior on matters pertaining to the development of a management plan, and the management and operation of the 76-mile Niobrara National Scenic River.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings? All meetings were open.

21. Remarks

(1) The advisory commission met once in 2001, just prior to expiration on May 24, 2001.

Designated Federal Officer

William W. Schenk, Regional Director, Midwest Region, National Park Service

Committee Start End Occupation Member Designation

Appelt, Ann	05/19/1992 05/19/1995 Landowner	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Arrowsmith, Brad	05/19/1992 05/19/1995 Landowner	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Christiansen, Lou	05/19/1992 05/19/1995 Canoe Outfitter	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Egehoff, Franklin	05/19/1992 05/19/1995 Landowner	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Hilske, Robert	05/19/1992 05/19/1995 Natural Resource District	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Kuhre, Doug	05/19/1992 05/19/1995 Landowner	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Parker, Winifred	05/19/1992 05/19/1995 Landowner	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Sandall, Wesley	05/19/1992 05/19/1995 Landowner, County Representative	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Shay, Vince	05/19/1992 05/19/1995 Conservation Organization (TNC)	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Simmons, Carl	01/15/1993 01/15/1996 Landowner	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member
Williamson, Dayle	05/19/1992 05/19/1995 Governors Representative (Nebraska)	Special Government Employee (SGE) Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 11

Narrative Description

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee? Checked if Applies Improvements to health or safety Trust in government Major policy changes Advance in scientific research Effective grant making Improved service delivery Increased customer satisfaction Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements Other

Outcome Comments

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

None

✓

Unable to Determine Under \$100,000 \$100,000 - \$500,000	
\$500,001 - \$1,000,000 \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000	
\$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 Over \$10,000,000 Cost Sovings Other	
Cost Savings Comments	
Cost Savings Comments	
What is the approximate $\underline{\text{Number}}$ of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?	•
Number of Recommendations Comments	
What is the approximate $\underline{\text{Percentage}}$ of these recommendations that have been or will be $\underline{\text{Fully}}$ implemented by the agency? 0%	
% of Recommendations <u>Fully</u> Implemented Comments	
What is the approximate $\underline{\text{Percentage}}$ of these recommendations that have been or will be $\underline{\text{Partially}}$ implemented by the agency? 0%	
% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments	
Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered? Yes No Not Applicable	

Agency Feedback Comments

recommendation?	
	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	
Reallocated resources	
Issued new regulation	
Proposed legislation	
Approved grants or other payments	
Other	
Action Comments	
Is the Committee engaged in the review of app	lications for grants?
Grant Review Comments	
How is access provided to the information for the	the Committee's documentation?
·	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	
Online Agency Web Site	
Online Committee Web Site	
Online GSA FACA Web Site	
Publications	
Other	

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or

Access Comments